

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/521,253 | 06/17/2005 | Andreas Przadka | 14219-075US1/P2002,0539 U | 8747 |
| 26:161 75:90 FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | RODELA, EDUARDO A | |
| MINNEAPOL | IS, MN 55440-1022 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2893 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/18/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | Application/Control No. | F |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief | 10/521,253 | F |
| Review | | 1 |
| TKC VIC VI | EDUARDO A. RODELA | 2 |

Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination

10/521,253 PRZADKA, ANDREAS

Art Unit

EDUARDO A. RODELA 2893

Part of Paper No. 20100310

| | - | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal | Brief Request for Rev | riew filed 28 Janu | uary 2010. |
| Improper Request – The Rereason(s): | Request is improper a | nd a conference | will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has ☐ The request does not incl ☐ A proposed amendment i ☐ Other: | ude reasons why a re | view is appropria | ite. |
| The time period for filing a responthe mail date of the last Office cou | nse continues to run fr mmunication, if no No | om the receipt da tice of Appeal ha | ate of the Notice of Appeal or from s been received. |
| held. The application remains unc is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no | der appeal because the rief in accordance with a from mailing this dea tice of appeal, whiche 7 CFR 1.136 based u | ere is at least on 37 CFR 41.37. ision, or the bala ver is greater. Fi | e-Appeal Brief conference has been e actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal nace of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of the e of this decision or the receipt date |
| The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor | | aim(s) is as follov | vs: |
| Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosect applicant at this time. | conference has been ution on the merits ren | held. The rejecti nains closed. No | on is withdrawn and a Notice of o further action is required by |
| 4. ☑ Reopen Prosecution – A caction will be mailed. No further a | conference has been laction is required by a | neld. The rejectio pplicant at this ti | n is withdrawn and a new Office me. |
| All participants: | | | |
| (1) Eduardo A. Rodela, Examiner. | | (3)Tom Thoma | s <u>, SPE</u> . |
| (2) <u>Davienne Monbleau, SPE</u> . | | (4) | |
| /Davienne Monbleau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2893 | /Eduardo A. Rodela/ Examiner, Art Unit 2 | 393 | /T.T./ Tom Thomas TC 2800 |